

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 13, 2006 LB 786

would insert "facts which, if true, establish the existence" not of the grounds of, but of those three items, because when you submit a petition, the court, as you pointed out, is not going to look at it to see whether or not there is proof of anything, because all that a petition is to allege are facts which, if true, would make out the case you're talking about. Then the trial determines whether you have enough facts to win it. So I do understand what the committee was attempting to do,...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...and that's why I'm offering some of the amendments that you'll see, so I don't think I'm saying something different.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Okay. And I haven't gotten through all of your amendments, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Oh, okay.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: They are extensive. But I just wanted to make sure that nobody was misunderstanding that. And the other thing I wanted to point out, and you probably remember, that it was several years ago that we changed the petition statutes, and that's when we required the person who wanted to recall an elected official to submit some kind of a statement in typewritten form and concise language of 60 words or less,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: ...why they were taking out that petition. And then we also gave the person who was subject to recall the ability to also respond on that statement in 60 words or less, why they shouldn't be recalled. And that was the only real change that we made at that time, although we felt that something else needed to be done. But we just couldn't...we didn't figure it out at that time. So I think this is another step in the right direction. I would also like to say, Senator Chambers, that I think some of your amendments that I've seen are fine and are very good, and I don't know how amenable you would be to trying to put some of those together into an